Considering and explaining the substitute (*hilulah*) as a remedy for a disruption to the relationship between the owner and the property

Ardavan Arzhang Qobad Mohammadi Shaihki

Abstract

If returning a usurped and guaranteed property is impossible and difficult, the majority of the jurisprudents consider the guarantor as a responsible for paying the alternative of the property, and have called it as "substituted property". Its impact is to eliminate the disruption that an usurper has created it in the relationship between the owner and the property. This claim is proven and validated with help of many reasons. But every evidence alone cannot completely include all the examples of a difficulty. Branches of a substitute such as the ownership of a substituted property and its alternative, and their interests are connected and depended on the implication and the provability of the accepted reason. The contracting parties' intention and will which can arise from acceptance of common sense reason remains neglected. Without interference of the parties' intention and will, the permanent precepts as to the substitute property can hardly found. With the interference of the common sense, the intention and will of the two parties find their place. An explanatory reporting as to the standards and criteria of the ordinance, the assessment of the evidence, their formulation, the nature of substitute, especially the ownership which seems as a problem, and also the examination of the various ideas presented to solve it, is a glimpse of the walked way.

Keywords: substitute, difficulty, owner, usurped property, guaranteed property, intention and ownership.

Jurisprudential review of article 665, Islamic penal code 2014 (diya of testicle) Ruhollah Akrami

Abstract

Imamate jurisprudents have a consensus as to existence of complete diya of two testicles. Nevertheless, how to distribute their diya between them is as a matter of difference. According to the generalities and some special traditions as to bisecting the diya of the couple organs of the body, the famous jurisprudents believe in the equality of their diya; but for some traditions that have described the child's development as the benefit of the left testicle, the other jurisprudents have considered diya of the left testicle more than the right one. An examination of the evidence suggests that the document and implication of the hadiths connected to the latter viewpoint are weak; also, the relationship of childbearing with the function of the left testicle has not been medically proven. However, in direction to adaptability to Imam Khomeini's fatwa, article 665 of Islamic Penal Code 2014 has complied with a non-famous opinion. Meanwhile researching jurisprudential documents of the problem, weakness of basis of law and the incomplte quoting from Imam' fatwa have been studied by this paper.

Keywords: diya of the couple organs of the body, diya of testicle, felony against physical integrity, imamate jurisprudence, and Islamic penal code.

Jurisprudential review of reciprocity through the forbidden act Sayyed Javad Siyadati

Abstract

The nature of "reciprocity" rule is based on a similarity to counteracting aggressor, but this is faced by obstacles placing restrictions on a rightful person. One of the barriers is reciprocity from the prohibited act. The prohibitions which beyond their reciprocity sphere, by themselves and as the first title are forbidden acts (such as false accusation of sexual intercourse and sodomy), and as well as those which, as the secondary titles, are the prohibited actions (like permissible insult that is considered as impermissible because of humiliation of religion), shall govern this rule in the face of taking counteraction. These prohibitions don not give to the victims to commit the same prohibited acts in order to receive their rights. Nevertheless, the prohibitions which became lawful for the secondary titles, in the state of taking counteraction, are permitted such as murdering a respected person during a war because of necessity. Of course, in the meanwhile, some prohibitions such as fornication, insulting the relatives of the opponent and the breaking the bone are subjectively out of the counteraction, for in the first and second prohibitions, reciprocal action does not occur, and in the third one, protecting the equivalence is not possible. Where reciprocity is forbidden in cases, there are some alternative ways for receiving the right such as reciprocal lawful act, and applying had (determined penalty), ta'zir (discretionary punishment), diva (blood money) and arsh (indemnity for defect).

Keywords: reciprocity, qisas (retaliation), reciprocal insult, reciprocal forbidden act, and retribution.

Jurisprudential rules governing over the right of development Mahdi Firuzi

Abstract

The rapid developments of the contemporary human rights discourse in the recent decades have considerably led to its conceptual and normative expansion. This issue has so far recognized three generations of human rights. Considering development as a human right and, consequently, the appearance of the "right to development", as one of the examples of the third generation of human rights and under the title of the law of solidarity are analyzable in this regard. According to the right of development, all the people deserve participating and profiting from economical, social, political and cultural development in the form that all the human rights and fundamental freedoms can be compensated. Due to the emergence of this right, the jurisprudential and legal exploring and explaining it can make clear its various and invisible angles, in the meanwhile has the great importance. This article is obligator for explaining the jurisprudential rules governing over the right to development. It seems that the rules such as no loss in Islam (la zara), destruction, justice and negation of mustache are among the rules that can explain the jurisprudential aspects of this right.

Keywords: development, right to development, rules of jurisprudence, rule of "no loss in Islam', rule of "destruction", rule of "justice" and rule of "negation of mustache".

Jurisprudential research on learning the required precepts by discerning minors Mostafa Hamadani

Abstract

Before the full age, learning required jurisprudential orders is obligatory. Meanwhile, given the exact record of the birthday hour in the modern period, an important question arises: Is it obligatory on the discerning minors who reach the full age in some minutes before the dusk and have no any opportunity to learn precepts of purity and prayer, to become familiar with these orders before the full age? If so, how can this contradiction between its necessity and the tradition of " rofe'a al-qalam" and also the consensus of lack of obligatory order as to minor, be resolved? According to Mohaqqeq Na'eini, this learning is obligatory on a discerning minor. However, many of his disciples and contemporaries have denied this necessity. This study is written in order to response to this question. While this paper is rooting this conflict as a reaction to Sahib Fosul's ideas that have not had any precedent, it concludes that a discerning child has no religious duty to learn these precepts, and the hadith of " rofe'a al-qalam" has priority over the rational evidence of necessity for its gratefulness nature.

Keywords: minor, discerning, learning precepts, necessity of learning before puberty.

Suitability context between a precept and its subject, and its effectiveness on inferring the precepts Reza Isfandiyari (Islami)

Abstract

'Usuliyun (scholars of principles of jurisprudence)'s interpretations regarding the nature of the suitability context (garineye monasebat) are different. They ultimately consider it as a customary context. However, a general common sense is not criterion.; but occasionally, the nature of a context depends on the common sense of the pious people (motesharre'a). 'Usuliyiyun have applied suitability of context in order to prove an implication for any description(wasf), and for discussion of the derivation (moshtaq), as well as for eliminating ambiguity (ijmal) from the evidence and determining the collective duty (wajib kifayi). As for the proof of the multiplicity of desires, the proof of maintaining status que (istishab), the preference of one to another in the form of conflict (tazahum), eliminating a type ambiguity from the hadiths of *rofe*'a al-galam and la zarar and some of inferred legal rules, the scholars have occasionally used that context. In all of these cases, authenticity of a context is based on refining the customary appearance. Many examples can be found that resolving religious problems ultimately depends on a kind of making customary appearance of legal texts. Because of breaking down absoluteness of an evidence and eliminating from it, being a context as a context of suitability has a basic role in the mentioned examples.

Keyword: suitability, suitability of precept and subject matter, customary context and context

Contents

Editorial Board (In Alphabetical Order)

Abdorreza Eizadpanah (Board of Trustees Member of Islamic Propagation Office) Seyyed Ahmad Hosseini (Member of Society of Seminary Teachers of Qom,

and professor of advanced levels at Qom Seminary) Yaqoubali Borji (Associate Professor, Al-Mustafa International University) Muhammad Zarvandi Rahmani (Assistant Professor, Al-Mustafa International University) Seyyed Abbas Salehi (Assistant Professor, Islamic Science and Culture Academy) Seifollah Sarrami (Associate Professor, Islamic Science and Culture Academy) Ahmad Moballeqi (the head of Islamic researching center of Islamic Consultative Assembly and

representative of Lorestan Province at Assembly of Experts for the Leadership) Seyyed Ziya Mortazavi (Associate Professor, Islamic Science and Culture Academy) Muhammad Sadieq Mazinani (Fourth Level Researcher at Qom Seminary) Muhammad Hassan Najafi (Assistant Professor, Islamic Science and Culture Academy)

Editor: Yahya Sarrami

English translator: Mohammadreza Zafari Arabic translator: Mohammad Hossein Hekmat

Referring to the enactment 131 issued by The Council for Awarding Scientific Permissions and Grants of the Seminaries Supreme Council held on 5/3/1394, The Quarterly Journal of A New Probe in Fiqh has granted Scientific–Research rank since Volume 75. It is noticeable that by virtue of single–clause bill enacted by the session 625 of the Supreme Council of the Cultural Revolution held on 21/3/1387, enactments of the Supreme Council of the Qom Seminary possesses official credit in regard of awarding scientific grant to the scientific journals, and begets legal privileges at the universities and seminaries.

Disclaimer:

The Editorial Board reserves the right to modify articles.

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Editorial Board.

The Quarterly Journal of «A New Probe in Fiqh» is cited in the Islamic World Science Citation Center (ISC), State Journals Database (www.magiran.com), Noor Specialized Magazines (www.noormags.ir), and The Magazines Portal of the Islamic Propagation Office (www.daftarmags.ir).

Address : Fiqh Journal Office, Islamic Science a	nd Culture Academy, Moallem St., Sho
hada Sq., Qom, Isl mic Republic of Iran,	
P.O.Box: 37185-599	
Office Phone: +98-25-37742158	Fax:+98-25-37742159
Circulation Phone: +98-25-37116666	
Email : Jf@isca.ac.ir	Web: Jf.isca.ac.ir
Publication Manager : Seyyed Hossein Moosavi	
Price: 6000 Tomans.	







Publisher : Islamic Propagation Office, Qom Seminary Islamic Science and Culture Academy Director-in-Charge : Abdorreza Eizadpanah Editor-in-Chief : Seifollah Sarrami Journal>s expert : Alireza Fajri Executive manager : Seyyed Mahmood Karimi